

1 Mathematical Background

Let π, μ be two distribution on a same set Ω . The total variation distance between π and μ is denoted $\|\pi - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}$ and is defined by

$$\|\pi - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} = \max_{A \subseteq \Omega} |\pi(A) - \mu(A)|.$$

It is known that

$$\|\pi - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \Omega} |\pi(x) - \mu(x)|.$$

Moreover, if ν is a distribution on Ω , one has

$$\|\pi - \mu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\pi - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} + \|\nu - \mu\|_{\text{TV}}$$

Let P be the matrix of a markov chain on Ω . $P(x, \cdot)$ is the distribution induced by the x -th row of P . If the markov chain induced by P has a stationary distribution π , then we define

$$d(t) = \max_{x \in \Omega} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}},$$

and

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) = \min\{t \mid d(t) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

One can prove that

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \leq \lceil \log_2(\varepsilon^{-1}) \rceil t_{\text{mix}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$$

Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Ω valued random variables. A \mathbb{N} -valued random variable τ is a *stopping time* for the sequence (X_i) if for each t there exists $B_t \subseteq \omega^{t+1}$ such that $\{\tau = t\} = \{(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_t) \in B_t\}$.

Let $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a markov chain and $f(X_{t-1}, Z_t)$ a random mapping representation of the markov chain. A *randomized stopping time* for the markov chain is a stopping time for $(Z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$. If the markov chain is irreducible and has π as stationary distribution, then a *stationary time* τ is a randomized stopping time (possibly depending on the starting position x), such that the distribution of X_τ is π :

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_\tau = y) = \pi(y).$$

Proposition 1 *If τ is a strong stationary time, then $d(t) \leq \max_{x \in \Omega} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau > t)$.*

2 Random walk on the modified Hypercube

Let $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^N$ be the set of words of length N . Let $E = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega, x = y \text{ or } x \oplus y \in 0^*10^*\}$. Let h be a function from Ω into $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

We denote by E_h the set $E \setminus \{(x, y) \mid x \oplus y = 0^{N-h(x)}10^{h(x)-1}\}$. We define the matrix P_h has follows:

$$\begin{cases} P_h(x, y) = 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \notin E_h \\ P_h(x, x) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} & \\ P_h(x, x) = \frac{1}{2N} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We denote by \bar{h} the function from Ω into ω defined by $x \oplus \bar{h}(x) = 0^{N-h(x)}10^{h(x)-1}$. The function \bar{h} is said *square-free* if for every $x \in E$, $\bar{h}(\bar{h}(x)) \neq x$.

Lemma 2 *If \bar{h} is bijective and square-free, then $h(\bar{h}^{-1}(x)) \neq h(x)$.*

PROOF.

□

Let Z be a random variable over $\{1, \dots, N\} \times \{0, 1\}$ uniformly distributed. For $X \in \Omega$, we define, with $Z = (i, x)$,

$$\begin{cases} f(X, Z) = X \oplus (0^{N-i}10^{i-1}) & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } i \neq h(X), \\ f(X, Z) = X & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3 Stopping time

An integer $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ is said *fair* at time t if there exists $0 \leq j < t$ such that $Z_j = (\ell, \cdot)$ and $h(X_j) \neq \ell$.

Let τ_{stop} be the first time all the elements of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ are fair. The integer τ_{stop} is a randomized stopping time for the markov chain (X_t) .

Lemma 3 *The integer τ_{stop} is a strong stationnary time.*

PROOF. Let τ_ℓ be the first time that ℓ is fair. The random variable $Z_{\tau_\ell-1}$ is of the form (ℓ, δ) with $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\delta = 1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\delta = 0$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Since $h(X_{\tau_\ell-1}) \neq \ell$ the value of the ℓ -th bit of X_{τ_ℓ} is δ . Moving next in the chain, at each step, the ℓ -th bit is switch from 0 to 1 or from 1 to 0 each time with the same probability. Therefore, for $t \geq \tau_\ell$, the ℓ -th bit of X_t is 0 or 1 with the same probability, proving the lemma. □

Proposition 4 *If \bar{h} is bijective and square-free, then $E[\tau_{\text{stop}}] \leq 8N^2 + N \ln(N+1)$.*

For each $x \in \Omega$ and $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $S_{x,\ell}$ be the random variable counting the number of steps done until reaching from x a state where ℓ is fair. More formaly

$$S_{x,\ell} = \min\{m \geq 1 \mid h(X_m) \neq \ell \text{ and } Z_m = \ell \text{ and } X_0 = x\}.$$

We denote by

$$\lambda_h = \max_{x,\ell} S_{x,\ell}.$$

Lemma 5 *If \bar{h} is a square-free bijective function, then one has $E[\lambda_h] \leq 8N^2$.*

PROOF. For every X , every ℓ , one has $\mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \leq 2) \geq \frac{1}{4N^2}$. Let $X_0 = X$. Indeed, if $h(X) \neq \ell$, then $\mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} = 1) = \frac{1}{2N} \geq \frac{1}{4N^2}$. If $h(X) = \ell$, then $\mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} = 1) = 0$. But in this case, intuitively, it is possible to move from X to $\bar{h}^{-1}(X)$ (with probability $\frac{1}{2N}$). And in $\bar{h}^{-1}(X)$ the ℓ -th bit is switchable. More fromaly, since \bar{h} is square-free, $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{h}(\bar{h}(\bar{h}^{-1}(X))) \neq \bar{h}^{-1}(X)$. It follows that $(X, \bar{h}^{-1}(X)) \in E_h$. Therefore $P(X_1 = \bar{h}^{-1}(X)) = \frac{1}{2N}$. Now, by Lemma 2, $h(\bar{h}^{-1}(X)) \neq h(X)$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}(S_{x,\ell} = 2 \mid X_1 = \bar{h}^{-1}(X)) = \frac{1}{2N}$, proving that $\mathbb{P}(S_{x,\ell} \leq 2) \geq \frac{1}{4N^2}$.

Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(S_{x,\ell} \geq 3) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{4N^2}$. By induction, one has, for every i , $\mathbb{P}(S_{x,\ell} \geq 2i+1) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{4N^2})^i$. Moreover, since $S_{X,\ell}$ is positive, it is known [?, lemma 2.9], that

$$E[S_{X,\ell}] = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq i).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq i) \geq \mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq i+1)$, one has

$$E[S_{X,\ell}] = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq i) \leq \mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq 1) + \mathbb{P}(S_{X,\ell} \geq 2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{x,\ell} \geq 2i).$$

Consequently,

$$E[S_{x,\ell}] \leq 1 + 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4N^2}\right)^i = 2 + 2(4N^2 - 1) = 8N^2,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Let τ'_{stop} be the first time that there are exactly $N - 1$ fair elements.

Lemma 6 *One has $E[\tau'_{\text{stop}}] \leq N \ln(N + 1)$.*

PROOF. This is a classical Coupon Collector's like problem. Let W_i be the random variable counting the number of moves done in the markov chain while we had exactly $i - 1$ fair bits. One has $\tau'_{\text{stop}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} W_i$. But when we are at position x with $i - 1$ fair bits, the probability of obtaining a new fair bit is either $1 - \frac{i-1}{N}$ if $h(x)$ is fair, or $1 - \frac{i-2}{N}$ if $h(x)$ is not fair. It follows that $E[W_i] \leq \frac{N}{N-i+2}$. Therefore

$$E[\tau'_{\text{stop}}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E[W_i] \leq N \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N-i+2} = N \sum_{i=3}^{N+1} \frac{1}{i}.$$

But $\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{i} \leq 1 + \ln(N + 1)$. It follows that $1 + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{i=3}^{N+1} \frac{1}{i} \leq 1 + \ln(N + 1)$. Consequently, $E[\tau'_{\text{stop}}] \leq N(-\frac{1}{2} + \ln(N + 1)) \leq N \ln(N + 1)$. \square

One can now prove Proposition 4.

PROOF. One has $\tau_{\text{stop}} \leq \tau'_{\text{stop}} + \lambda_h$. Therefore, Proposition 4 is a direct application of lemma 5 and 6. \square