

# Energy Consumption Optimization of Parallel Applications with Iterations using CPU Frequency Scaling

PhD Dissertation Defense

Ahmed Badri Muslim Fanfakh

Under Supervision: Raphaël COUTURIER and Jean-Claude CHARR  
University of Franche-Comté - FEMTO-ST - DISC Dept. - AND Team  
17 October 2016

# Outline

---




1. Introduction and Problem definition
2. Motivations
3. Energy optimization of homogeneous platform
4. Energy optimization of heterogeneous platform
5. Energy optimization of asynchronous applications
6. Conclusions and Perspectives

# Introduction and problem definition

## Approaches to increase the computing power:

1) Increasing the frequency of a processor



2) Increasing the number of nodes



# Introduction and problem definition

---

## Techniques for energy consumption reduction

### 1) Switch-off idle nodes method



# Techniques for energy consumption reduction




## 2) Dynamic voltage and frequency Scaling (DVFS)

---

# Motivations

## Why we used DVFS method:

- The biggest power consumption is consumed by a processor <sup>1</sup>.
- It used to reduce the energy consumption while keeping all the node working, thus it is more adapted to parallel computing.
- It has a very small overhead compared to switching-off the idle nodes method.



## Challenge and Objective

**Challenge:** DVFS is used to reduce the energy consumption, **but** it degrades the performance simultaneously.

**Objective:** Applying the DVFS to minimize the energy consumption while maintaining the performance of the parallel applications.

<sup>1</sup> Fan, X., Weber, W., and Barroso, L. A. 2007. Power provisioning for a warehouse-sized computer.




## Energy optimization of a parallel application with iterations running over a homogeneous platform

# Objectives


---




- Study the effect of the scaling factor  $S$  on **energy consumption and performance** of parallel applications with iterations such as NAS Benchmarks. 
- Discovering the **energy-performance trade-off relation** when changing the frequency of the processor.
- Proposing an algorithm for selecting the scaling factor  $S$  producing **the optimal trade-off** between the energy consumption and the performance.
- Comparing the proposed algorithm to existing methods.



# Execution of synchronous parallel tasks



(a) Sync. imbalanced communications



(b) Sync. imbalanced computations

# Energy model for homogeneous platform

---

The power consumed by a processor divided into two power metrics: the dynamic ( $P_d$ ) and static ( $P_s$ ) power.

$$P_d = \alpha \cdot CL \cdot V^2 \cdot F \quad (1)$$

Where:

$\alpha$ : switching activity

$V$  the supply voltage

$CL$ : load capacitance

$F$ : operational frequency

$$P_s = V \cdot N_{trans} \cdot K_{design} \cdot I_{Leak} \quad (2)$$

Where:

$V$ : the supply voltage.

$K_{design}$ : design dependent parameter.

$N_{trans}$ : number of transistors.

$I_{leak}$ : technology dependent parameter.

# Energy model for homogeneous platform

The frequency scaling factor is the ratio between the maximum and the new frequency,  $S = \frac{F_{max}}{F_{new}}$ .

## Rauber and Runger's energy model

$$E = P_d \cdot S_1^{-2} \cdot \left( T_1 + \sum_{i=2}^N \frac{T_i^3}{T_1^2} \right) + P_s \cdot S_1 \cdot T_1 \cdot N$$

$S_1$ : the max. scaling factor

$P_d$ : the dynamic power

$P_s$ : the static power

$T_1$ : the time of the slower task



$T_i$ : the time of the other tasks

$N$ : the number of nodes

# Performance evaluation of MPI programs


## Execution time prediction model

$$T_{new} = T_{MaxCompOld} \cdot S + T_{MinCommOld}$$




The maximum normalized error for CG=0.0073 (**the smallest**) and LU=0.031 (**the worst**).

# Performance and energy reduction trade-off



(c) Real relation.




(d) Converted relation.

Where: *Performance* = *execution time*<sup>-1</sup>

## Our objective function

$$\text{MaxDist} = \max_{j=1,2,\dots,F} \left( \overbrace{P_{\text{Norm}}(S_j)}^{\text{Maximize}} - \overbrace{E_{\text{Norm}}(S_j)}^{\text{Minimize}} \right)$$

# Scaling factor selection algorithm



# Scaling algorithm example

---



# Experimental results



---



- The experiments are executed on the simulator SimGrid/SMPI v3.10.
- The proposed algorithm is applied to the NAS parallel benchmarks.
- Each node in the cluster has 18 frequency values from **2.5GHz** to **800MHz**.
- The proposed algorithm was evaluated over the A, B, C classes of the benchmarks using 4, 8 or 9 and 16 nodes respectively.
- $P_d = 20W$ ,  $P_s = 4W$ .



# Experimental results




# Results comparison



## Rauber and Runger's optimal scaling factor

$$S_{opt} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{2}{N} \cdot \frac{P_{dyn}}{P_{static}} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^N \frac{T_i^3}{T_1^3}\right)}$$





Comparing our method with Rauber and Runger method for NAS benchmarks class C

# The proposed new energy model

---



# Comparing the new model with Rauber model





## Energy optimization of a parallel application with iterations running over Heterogeneous platform


# Objectives

---



- Proposing **new energy and performance models** for message passing applications with iterations running over a heterogeneous platform (cluster and Grid).
- Studying the effect of the scaling factor  $S$  on both the **energy consumption and the performance** of message passing iterative applications.
- Computing the vector of scaling factors  $(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n)$  producing **the optimal trade-off** between the energy consumption and the performance.

# The execution time model



## The execution time prediction model

$$T_{new} = \max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} (T_{cpOld_i} \cdot S_i) + \min_{i=1,2,\dots,N} (T_{cm_i}) \quad (3)$$

Where:  $T_{cm}$  = *communication times* + *slack times*

# The energy consumption model

---



The overall energy consumption of a message passing synchronous application executed over a heterogeneous platform can be computed as follows:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^N (S_i^{-2} \cdot Pd_i \cdot T_{cp_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (Ps_i \cdot (\max_{i=1,2,\dots,N} (T_{cp_i} \cdot S_i) + \min_{i=1,2,\dots,N} (T_{cm_i}))) \quad (4)$$

where:

$N$  : is the number of nodes.




# The energy model example for heter. cluster

---



# The scaling algorithm for heter. cluster



# The scaling algorithm example

---




# Experiments over a heterogeneous cluster

---


- The experiments executed on the simulator SimGrid/SMPI v3.10.
- The scaling algorithm was applied to the NAS parallel benchmarks class C.
- Four types of processors with different computing powers were used.
- We ran the benchmarks on different number of nodes ranging from 4 to 144 nodes.
- The total power consumption of the chosen CPUs assumed to be composed of 80% for the dynamic power and 20% for the static power.

# The experimental results




On average, it reduces the energy consumption by **29%** for the class C of the NAS benchmarks executed over 8 nodes

# The experimental results



On average, it degrades by **3.8%** the performance of NAS benchmarks class C executed over 8 nodes

# The results of the three power scenarios





## Selected frequency scaling factors for 8 nodes

70%-30% Scenario	1.04	1.33	1.61	1.88	1.04	1.24	1.61	1.88
80%-20% Scenario	1.04	1.33	1.61	1.88	1.04	1.33	1.61	1.88
90%-10% Scenario	1.08	1.42	1.61	2.03	1.04	1.33	1.61	1.88

Arrows indicate the following adjustments: In the 80%-20% scenario, the 6th node's factor (1.24) is updated to 1.33. In the 90%-10% scenario, the 1st node's factor (1.04) is updated to 1.08, the 2nd node's factor (1.33) is updated to 1.42, and the 4th node's factor (1.88) is updated to 2.03.


# Comparing the objective function to EDP

EDP is the products between the energy consumption and the delay.







# The grid architecture



# Experiments over Grid'5000




Two experiments were conducted: over one site and two sites  
each one with three clusters




Grid'5000 power measurement tools were used


# Experiments over Grid'5000



The energy saving = 30%





The performance degradation = 3.2%



# Experiments over Grid'5000



One core and Multi-cores per node results:



Using multi-cores per node scenario decreases the computations to communications ratio.



## Energy optimization of asynchronous message passing iterative applications

# Problem definition

---

The execution of a synchronous parallel iterative application over a grid



# Problem definition

---

The execution of an asynchronous parallel iterative application over a grid



# Solution


Using asynchronous communications with DVFS








# The performance and the energy models


## *Synchronous Applications*



## *Asynchronous Applications*




# The scaling algorithm for Asynch. applications



# The experiments




- The architecture of the grid:



- Applying the proposed algorithm to the asynchronous iterative message passing multi-splitting method.
- Evaluating the application over the simulator and Grid'5000.


# The simulation results

The best scenario in terms of energy and performance is the Async. MS with Sync. DVFS






The average of energy saving = **22%**

# The simulation results





The average speed-up = 5.72%

# The Grid'5000 results



The energy saving = **26.93%**, the average speed-up = **21.48%**

# The comparison results



# Conclusions

---



- Three **new energy consumption and performance** models were proposed for synchronous and asynchronous parallel applications with iterations running over **homogeneous and heterogeneous clusters and grids**.
- A **new objective function** was proposed to optimize both the energy consumption and the performance.
- **New online frequency selecting algorithms** for clusters and grids were developed.
- The proposed algorithms were applied to the **NAS parallel benchmarks** and **the Multi-splitting** method.
- The proposed algorithms were evaluated over the **SimGrid simulator** and over **Grid'5000 testbed**.
- All the proposed methods were compared to either **Rauber and Runger's method** or **the EDP objective function**.



# Publications

## Journal Articles

- [1] Ahmed Fanfakh, Jean-Claude Charr, Raphaël Couturier, Arnaud Giersch. Optimizing the energy consumption of message passing applications with iterations executed over grids. *Journal of Computational Science*, 2016.
- [2] Ahmed Fanfakh, Jean-Claude Charr, Raphaël Couturier, Arnaud Giersch. Energy Consumption Reduction for Asynchronous Message Passing Applications. *Journal of Supercomputing*, 2016, (Submitted)

## Conference Articles

- [1] Jean-Claude Charr, Raphaël Couturier, Ahmed Fanfakh, Arnaud Giersch. Dynamic Frequency Scaling for Energy Consumption Reduction in Distributed MPI Programs. *ISPA 2014*, pp. 225-230. IEEE Computer Society, Milan, Italy (2014).
- [2] Jean-Claude Charr, Raphaël Couturier, Ahmed Fanfakh, Arnaud Giersch. Energy Consumption Reduction with DVFS for Message Passing Iterative Applications on Heterogeneous Architectures. *The 16<sup>th</sup> PDSEC*. pp. 922-931. IEEE Computer Society, INDIA (2015).
- [3] Ahmed Fanfakh, Jean-Claude Charr, Raphaël Couturier, Arnaud Giersch. CPUs Energy Consumption Reduction for Asynchronous Parallel Methods Running over Grids. *The 19<sup>th</sup> CSE conference*. IEEE Computer Society, Paris (2016).



- The proposed algorithms should take into consideration the **variability between some iterations**.
- The proposed algorithms should be applied to **other message passing methods with iterations** in order to see how they adapt to the characteristics of these methods.
- The proposed algorithms for heterogeneous platforms should be applied to heterogeneous platforms composed of **CPUs and GPUs**.
- Comparing the results returned by the energy models to the values given by **real instruments that measure the energy consumptions** of CPUs during the execution time.

# Fin

---



Thank you for your listening

Questions?